	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Interview Summary	10/565,965	IWATSU, TAKESHI
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	TUSHAR S. SHAH	2184	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _TUSHAR S. SHAH_.   (3)_____.

(2) _Bradley Lytle_.   (4)_____.

  Date of Interview: _17 July 2009_.

  Type: a)☒ Telephonic   b)☐ Video Conference
          c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant   2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes   e)☐ No.
  If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _1,8 and 22_.

Identification of prior art discussed: _NA_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☒ was reached.   g)☐ was not reached.   h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: _The examiner outlined proposed changes to claims 1, 8 and 22 to be made by Examiner's Amendment in order to place the application in condition for Allowance. The correction were to correct antecedent basis issues in claims 1 and 8 and to correct bounding of variables in claim 22. The attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/T. S. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 2184	